

Lifting the exponent

Carl Joshua Quines

July 20, 2019

Valuation

Define $\nu_p(n)$ for positive integers n as

$$\nu_p(n) = k \iff p^k \mid n, p^{k+1} \nmid n.$$

This is known as the p -adic valuation of n . Note that this is ν_p with the Greek letter ν (spelled nu, pronounced “new”).¹ Some properties that you should convince yourself are true:

- $\nu_p(ab) = \nu_p(a) + \nu_p(b)$.
- Similarly $\nu_p\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = \nu_p(a) - \nu_p(b)$. We can use this to extend the definition of ν_p to be a function from $\mathbb{Q}^{\neq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

What should $\nu_p(0)$ be? To satisfy the product rule, we can pick $\nu_p(0) = \infty$.

- $\nu_p(a + b) \geq \min\{\nu_p(a), \nu_p(b)\}$, equality holds if $\nu_p(a) \neq \nu_p(b)$.
- $\nu_p(a - b) \geq e \iff a \equiv b \pmod{p^e}$ from the previous.
- $\nu_p(\gcd(a, b)) = \min\{\nu_p(a), \nu_p(b)\}$.
- $\nu_p(\text{lcm}(a, b)) = \max\{\nu_p(a), \nu_p(b)\}$.
- $a = b \iff \nu_p(a) = \nu_p(b)$ for all p .
- More generally, $a \mid b \iff \nu_p(a) \leq \nu_p(b)$ for all p .

Examples

1. Prove that $\gcd(a, b, c) = \frac{abc \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b, c)}{\text{lcm}(a, b) \text{lcm}(b, c) \text{lcm}(c, a)}$.

Sketch: Pick a prime p , idea is to show ν_p of LHS and RHS are the same. Let $x = \nu_p(a)$, $y = \nu_p(b)$, and $z = \nu_p(c)$. In the LHS you have $\min\{x, y, z\}$, on the RHS you have $x + y + z + \max\{x, y, z\} - \max\{x, y\} - \max\{y, z\} - \max\{z, x\}$. But these are equal.

2. Suppose $a \mid b^2 \mid a^3 \mid b^4 \mid a^5 \mid \dots$. Prove that $a = b$.

Sketch: This is an easy problem, but it's a bit hard to write up. Using ν_p makes it easier. We have

$$a^{2n-1} \mid b^{2n} \implies (2n-1)\nu_p(a) \leq 2n\nu_p(b) \implies \nu_p(a) \leq \frac{2n}{2n-1}\nu_p(b).$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ means $\nu_p(a) \leq \nu_p(b)$; similarly we can prove $\nu_p(b) \leq \nu_p(a)$. This shows $\nu_p(a) = \nu_p(b)$.

¹This is sometimes written as v_p with the English letter v . I don't think this is standard, as I see more sources use ν_p . I don't even know why ν is the letter chosen for this, other than its superficial similarity to the letter v .

3. Let p prime, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $p \parallel 2^n - 1$. Show that $p \parallel 2^{p-1} - 1$. (We say $p \parallel n \iff p \mid n, p^2 \nmid n$.)

Remark: While this is typically done with the so-called *lifting the exponent lemma*, many people learn the statement without knowing the proof, which I think is bad, because the proof gives useful intuition. So we're going to motivate the proof using this problem and the next problem.

Sketch: Let m be the order of 2 modulo p . That is, the smallest positive integer m such that $p \mid 2^m - 1$. Because m is the order, we have $m \mid n$, so $2^m - 1 \mid 2^n - 1$, therefore, we get $p \parallel 2^m - 1$.

Now we use the main idea, and that's dividing $2^{p-1} - 1$ by $2^m - 1$. With some algebra,

$$\frac{2^{p-1} - 1}{2^m - 1} = 1 + 2^m + 2^{2m} + \dots + 2^{p-1-m}.$$

Modulo p , this is $\frac{p-1}{m}$ (because $p \mid 2^m - 1$). So this is not equal to 0, so $p^2 \nmid 2^{p-1} - 1$. But by FLT, $p \mid 2^{p-1} - 1$, the conclusion follows.

4. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^0$. Find $\nu_3(2^{3^n} + 1)$.

Sketch: This is induction. Find the answer when $n = 0$. Then observe that

$$\frac{2^{3^{n+1}} + 1}{2^{3^n} + 1} = 2^{2 \cdot 3^n} - 2^{3^n} + 1 \equiv 1 - (-1) + 1 \equiv 3 \pmod{9},$$

then it's divisible by 3 but not 9, so going $n \rightarrow n + 1$ increases ν_3 by 1.

Lifting the exponent

We can now state and prove the lifting the exponent lemma. It states that if p is an odd prime, $p \nmid a$, $p \nmid b$, and $p \mid a - b$, then

$$\nu_p(a^n - b^n) = \nu_p(a - b) + \nu_p(n)$$

for all positive integers n . **The condition $p \mid a - b$ is very important, yet easy to forget. Always remember to check this condition.** In particular, you must have $\nu_p(a - b) > 0$.

The proof is by induction on n . The main idea here is the inductive step. The idea is that we want to take out the powers of p from n . For example, if we take $n = p^\alpha$, we can rewrite this as

$$\nu_p\left(\left(a^{p^{\alpha-1}}\right)^p - \left(b^{p^{\alpha-1}}\right)^p\right) = \nu_p\left(a^{p^{\alpha-1}} - b^{p^{\alpha-1}}\right) + 1.$$

But to prove this, we only have to show that it's true for $n = p$. Similarly, if we have $n = p^\alpha \beta$, where $\gcd(p, \beta) = 1$, we can write

$$\nu_p\left(\left(a^{p^\alpha}\right)^\beta - \left(b^{p^\alpha}\right)^\beta\right) = \nu_p\left(a^{p^\alpha} - b^{p^\alpha}\right),$$

which means we only have to show the case when $\nu_p(n) = 0$. This is already our inductive step! So these two cases, the one where $\nu_p(n) = 0$ and $n = p$, will form the two base cases of our induction.

The case $\nu_p(n) = 0$ is easy. Write

$$\nu_p(a^n - b^n) = \nu_p(a - b) \iff \nu_p\left(\frac{a^n - b^n}{a - b}\right) = 0;$$

where we get the second equation by transposing $\nu_p(a - b)$ and applying the quotient rule. We only need to show that

$$p \nmid a^{n-1} + a^{n-2}b + \dots + b^{n-1}.$$

This follows because $a \equiv b \pmod{p}$, so substitute this to get

$$a^{n-1} + a^{n-1} + \dots + a^{n-1} \equiv na^{n-1} \not\equiv 0.$$

The other base case, $n = p$, is harder. We need to show that

$$\nu_p(a^p - b^p) = \nu_p(a - b) + 1 \iff \nu_p\left(\frac{a^p - b^p}{a - b}\right) = 1.$$

There are two parts here. First, we want to show

$$p \mid a^{p-1} + a^{p-2}b + \dots + b^{p-1}.$$

This follows because $a \equiv b \pmod{p}$, so using a similar process from the other base case, we get $pa^{p-1} \equiv 0$. Second, we want to show that

$$p^2 \nmid a^{p-1} + a^{p-2}b + \dots + b^{p-1}.$$

This second part is an algebra bash. We substitute $b \equiv pk + a \pmod{p^2}$, then expand with the binomial theorem. It's not that bad because all of the terms with p^2 disappear, leaving us with

$$a^{p-1} + (a^{p-1} + a^{p-2}pk) + (a^{p-1} + 2a^{p-2}pk) + \dots + (a^{p-1} + (p-1)a^{p-2}pk).$$

The $a^{p-2}pk$ terms have coefficients $1 + 2 + \dots + p - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, so coupled with the extra p factor, they all sum to $0 \pmod{p^2}$. This leaves you with $pa^{p-1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$.

An alternative formulation follows if n is odd. Then we can replace b with $-b$ to get

$$\nu_p(a^n + b^n) = \nu_p(a + b) + \nu_p(n).$$

Note, again, this only applies if n is odd.

Example: Suppose $a, b, n, p, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n > 1$ is odd, p is an odd prime, and $a^n + b^n = p^k$. Prove that n is a power of p .

Sketch: Check all the conditions before using LTE! We have p is an odd prime. If $p \mid a$, then $p \mid b$, and we can divide both a and b by p until neither is divisible by p , so WLOG $p \nmid a$ and $p \nmid b$. Also, n is odd so we can use the $+$ case of LTE.

Now we check the hard condition. By factorization, since $a + b \mid a^n + b^n = p^k$, it must follow that either $a + b = 1$ (impossible) or $p \mid a + b$. This gives us all the conditions and now we can use LTE:

$$k = \nu_p(p^k) = \nu_p(a^n + b^n) = \nu_p(a + b) + \nu_p(n).$$

Now suppose $\ell = p^{\nu_p(n)}$. Then

$$\nu_p(a^\ell + b^\ell) = \nu_p(a + b) + \nu_p(n).$$

So $p^k \mid a^\ell + b^\ell \mid a^n + b^n = p^k$, so they must all be equal and $n = \ell$ which is a power of p .

Problems

1. (Folklore) Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Find all n such that $3^k \mid 2^n - 1$.
2. (Iran 2008) Fix $a \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $4(a^n + 1)$ is a perfect cube for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Prove that $a = 1$.
3. (Ireland 1996) If $2^p + 3^p = a^n$ for some prime p , prove $n = 1$.
4. (ISL 1991) Find the largest k such that $1991^k \mid 1990^{1991^{1992}} + 1992^{1991^{1990}}$.
5. (AIME 2018) Find the smallest n such that 3^n ends with 01 when written in base 143.

Hints

1. $2^{2n} - 1 = 4^n - 1$ and $3 \mid 4 - 1$.
2. Taking $a^2 + 1 \pmod{4}$, we see it's never a power of 2.
3. $2^p + 3^p$ is not a square. Find $\nu_5(2^p + 3^p)$.
4. $1990^{1991^{1992}} = \left(1990^{1991^2}\right)^{1991^{1990}}$.
5. $11 \mid 3^5 - 1$ so $3^n - 1 = (3^5)^{n/5} - 1$.

References

The classic reference is Amir Hossein Parvardi's [Lifting the Exponent Lemma](#) [↗](#) handout, but I don't think it motivates LTE well enough. The exposition here roughly follows Evan Chen's [OTIS Excerpts](#) [↗](#).

Thanks to Konwoo Kim for sending a correction.